Research Article Special Issue: Combinatorial Matrices

Open Access

Zoran Stanić*

A note on a walk-based inequality for the index of a signed graph

https://doi.org/10.1515/spma-2020-0120 Received October 6, 2020; accepted December 6, 2020

Abstract: We derive an inequality that includes the largest eigenvalue of the adjacency matrix and walks of an arbitrary length of a signed graph. We also consider certain particular cases.

Keywords: Signed graph; walk; adjacency matrix; index; upper bound

MSC: 05C22; 05C50

1 Introduction

A signed graph \dot{G} is a pair (G, σ) , where G = (V, E) is an unsigned graph, called the *underlying graph*, and $\sigma: E \longrightarrow \{-1, +1\}$ is the *sign function*. We denote the number of vertices of a signed graph by *n*. The edge set of a signed graph is composed of subsets of positive and negative edges. Throughout the paper we interpret an unsigned graph as a signed graph with all the edges being positive.

The $n \times n$ adjacency matrix $A_{\dot{G}}$ of \dot{G} is obtained from the standard (0, 1)-adjacency matrix of G by reversing the sign of all 1s which correspond to negative edges. The largest eigenvalue of $A_{\dot{G}}$ is called the *index* of \dot{G} and denoted by λ_1 . A detailed introduction to spectra of signed graphs can be found in [3].

Spectra of signed graph have received a great deal of attention in the recent years. In particular, some upper bounds for λ_1 appeared in our previous works [1, 2]. In this note we generalize the result of [2] concerning an upper bound for λ_1 in terms of certain standard invariants. Additional terminology and notation are given in Section 2. Our contribution and some consequences are given in Section 3.

2 Terminology and notation

If the vertices *i* and *j* are adjacent, then we write $i \sim j$. In particular, the existence of a positive (resp. negative) edge between these vertices is designated by $i \stackrel{*}{\sim} j$ (resp. $i \stackrel{*}{\sim} j$). We use d_i to denote the degree of a vertex $i \in V(\dot{G})$; in particular, we write d_i^+ and d_i^- for the positive and negative vertex degree (i.e., the number of positive and negative edges incident with *i*), respectively. For (not necessary distinct) vertices *i* and *j*, we use c_{ij}^{++} to denote the number of their common neighbours joined to both of them by a positive edge, c_{ij}^+ to denote the number of their common neighbours joined to *i* by a positive edge and to *j* by any edge. We also use the similar notation for all the remaining possibilities.

The definition of a walk in a signed graph does not deviate from the same definition in the case of graphs. So, a *walk* is a sequence of alternate vertices and edges such that consecutive vertices are incident with the corresponding edge. A walk in a signed graph is *positive* if the number of its negative edges (counted with

^{*}Corresponding Author: Zoran Stanić: Faculty of Mathematics, University of Belgrade Studentski trg 16, 11 000 Belgrade, Serbia, E-mail: zstanic@math.rs

their multiplicity if there are repeated edges) is not odd; otherwise, it is negative. In the same way we decide whether a cycle in a signed graph is positive or negative. We use $w_r^+(i, j)$ and $w_r^+(i)$ to denote the number of positive walks of length k starting at i and terminating at j and the number of positive walks of length k starting at i, respectively, and similarly for the numbers of negative ones.

3 Results

Our main result reads as follows.

Theorem 3.1. For the index λ_1 of signed graph \dot{G} ,

$$\lambda_1 \left(n_i^+ + n_i^- + \lambda_1^{r-1} \right) \leq (n_i^+ + n_i^-) d_i + \sum_{j=1}^n (w^+ + w^-) d_j - 2 \left(\sum_{j: w^+ \neq 0} (c_{ij}^{+-} + c_{ij}^{-+}) + \sum_{j: w^- \neq 0} (c_{ij}^{++} + c_{ij}^{--}) \right),$$

where *i* is a vertex that corresponds to the largest absolute value of the coordinates of an eigenvector afforded by λ_i , $r (r \ge 2)$ is an integer, $w^+ = w^+_{r-1}(i, j)$, $w^- = w^-_{r-1}(i, j)$ and n^+_i (resp. n^-_i) is the number of vertices *j* such that $w^+ \ne 0$ (resp. $w^- \ne 0$).

Proof. Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)^T$ be an eigenvector associated with λ_1 and let x_i be the coordinate that is largest in absolute value. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $x_i = 1$. Considering the *i*th and the *j*th equality of $\lambda_1 \mathbf{x} = A_{\dot{G}} \mathbf{x}$, we get

$$\lambda_1 = \sum_{\substack{k \sim i \\ k \sim i}} x_k - \sum_{\substack{k \sim i \\ k \sim i}} x_k,\tag{1}$$

and

$$\lambda_1 x_j = \sum_{\substack{k \sim j \\ k \sim j}} x_k - \sum_{\substack{k \sim j \\ k \sim j}} x_k.$$
⁽²⁾

By multiplying the equality (2) by $w^+ = w_{r-1}^+(i, j)$ and adding to (1), we get

$$\begin{split} \lambda_1(1+w^+x_j) &= \sum_{k\sim i} x_k - \sum_{k\sim i} x_k + w^+ \Big(\sum_{k\sim j} x_k - \sum_{k\sim j} x_k\Big) \\ &= (1+w^+) \Big(\sum_{\substack{k\sim i \\ k\sim j}} x_k - \sum_{\substack{k\sim i \\ k\sim j}} x_k\Big) + (1-w^+) \Big(\sum_{\substack{k\sim i \\ k\sim j}} x_k - \sum_{\substack{k\sim i \\ k\sim j}} x_k\Big) \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{k\sim i \\ k\sim j}} x_k - \sum_{\substack{k\sim i \\ k\sim j}} x_k + w^+ \Big(\sum_{\substack{k\sim i \\ k\sim j}} x_k - \sum_{\substack{k\sim i \\ k\sim j}} x_k\Big) \\ &\leq (1+w^+)(c_{ij}^{++} + c_{ij}^{--}) + |1-w^+|(c_{ij}^{+-} + c_{ij}^{-+}) + d_i^+ - c_{ij}^+ + d_i^- - c_{ij}^- + w^+(d_j^+ - c_{ji}^+ + d_j^- - c_{ji}^-) \\ &= d_i + w^+ d_j - (1+w^+ - |1-w^+|)(c_{ij}^{+-} + c_{ij}^{-+}). \end{split}$$

Observe that, for $w^+ \neq 0$, the previous inequality reduces to

$$\lambda_1(1+w^+x_j) \leq d_i + w^+d_j - 2(c_{ij}^{+-}+c_{ij}^{-+}).$$

Taking the summation over all *j* such that $w^+ \neq 0$, we get

$$\lambda_1 \left(n_i^+ + \sum_{j: w^+ \neq 0} w^+ x_j \right) \le n_i d_i + \sum_{j: w^+ \neq 0} \left(w^+ d_j - 2(c_{ij}^{+-} + c_{ij}^{-+}) \right).$$
(3)

Similarly, by multiplying the equality (2) by $w^- = w_{r-1}^-(i, j)$ and subtracting it from (1), we get

$$\lambda_1(1 - w^- x_j) \le d_i + w^- d_j - (1 + w^- - |1 - w^+|)(c_{ij}^{++} + c_{ij}^{--}),$$

which, after taking the summation over all *j* such that $w^- \neq 0$, leads to

$$\lambda_1 \left(n_i^- - \sum_{j: w^- \neq 0}^n w^- x_j \right) \le n_i^- d_i + \sum_{j: w^- \neq 0}^n \left(w^- d_j - 2(c_{ij}^{++} + c_{ij}^{--}) \right).$$
(4)

Since

$$\mathbf{R}^{r-1} = \lambda^{r-1} x_i = \sum_{j=1}^n (w^+ - w^-) x_j = \sum_{j: w^+ \neq 0} x_j - \sum_{j: w^- \neq 0} x_j,$$

by summing (3) and (4), we obtain

$$\lambda_1 \left(n_i^+ + n_i^- + \lambda_1^{r-1} \right) \le (n_i^+ + n_i^-) d_i + \sum_{j=1}^n (w^+ + w^-) d_j - 2 \left(\sum_{j: w^+ \neq 0} (c_{ij}^{+-} + c_{ij}^{-+}) + \sum_{j: w^- \neq 0} (c_{ij}^{++} + c_{ij}^{--}) \right),$$

which completes the proof.

The Laplacian matrix $L_{\dot{G}}$ is defined as $L_{\dot{G}} = D_{\dot{G}} - A_{\dot{G}}$, where $D_{\dot{G}}$ is the diagonal matrix of vertex degrees. Observe that the counterparts to (1) and (2) in the case of the Laplacian matrix $L_{\dot{G}}$ are given by $\mu_1 = d_i + \sum_{k \sim i} x_k - \sum_{k \sim i} x_k$ and $\mu_1 x_j = d_j x_j + \sum_{k \sim i} x_k - \sum_{k \sim i} x_k$ (μ_1 being the largest eigenvalue of $L_{\dot{G}}$). Now, with slight modifications in the previous proof, we get the following.

Theorem 3.2. For the Laplacian index μ_1 of signed graph \dot{G} ,

$$\mu_1\left(n_i^+ + n_i^- + \mu_1^{r-1}\right) \le 2\left((n_i^+ + n_i^-)d_i + \sum_{j=1}^n (w^+ + w^-)d_j - \sum_{j:w^+ \neq 0} (c_{ij}^{+-} + c_{ij}^{-+}) + \sum_{j:w^- \neq 0} (c_{ij}^{++} + c_{ij}^{--})\right),$$

with the notations of Theorem 3.1.

For r = 2, we have $n_i^+ = w^+ = d_i^+$, $n_i^- = w^- = d_i^-$, while $\sum_{j:w^+\neq 0} (c_{ij}^{+-} + c_{ij}^{-+}) + \sum_{j:w^-\neq 0} (c_{ij}^{++} + c_{ij}^{--}) = 2T_i^-$, i.e., this is twice the sum of negative triangles passing through *i*. Thus Theorem 3.1 gives $\lambda_1(d_i + \lambda_1) \le d_i^2 + d_im_i - 4T_i^-$, where m_i is the average degree of the neighbours of *i*. This quadratic equation leads to

$$\lambda_1^2 \leq \max_{1\leq i\leq n} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left(\sqrt{5d_i^2 + 4(d_im_i - 4T_i^-)} - d_i \right) \right\},$$

the upper bound obtained in [2].

For r = 3, we get

$$\lambda_1 \left(n_i^+ + n_i^- + \lambda_1^2 \right) \le (n_i^+ + n_i^-) d_i + \sum_{j: \, w^+ \neq 0} (w^+ d_j - 2w^-) + \sum_{j: \, w^- \neq 0} (w^- d_j - 2w^+),$$

as $c_{ij}^{+-} + c_{ij}^{-+} = w^-$, $c_{ij}^{++} + c_{ij}^{--} = w^+$. In particular case of graphs, the latter inequality reduces to

$$\lambda_1 (d_2(i) + 1 + \lambda_1^2) \le (d_2(i) + 1)d_i + w_3(i),$$

where $d_2(i)$ denotes the number of vertices at distance 2 from *i* (and then $n_i^+ = d_2(i) + 1$) and $w_3(i)$ denotes the number of walks of length 3 starting at *i*.

Acknowledgements: Research is partially supported by Serbian Ministry of Education via Faculty of Mathematics, University of Belgrade.

Data Availability Statement: Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

References

- [1] Z. Stanić, Bounding the largest eigenvalue of signed graphs, Linear Algebra Appl., 573 (2019), 80–89.
- [2] Z. Stanić, Some bounds for the largest eigenvalue of a signed graph, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie, 62(110) (2019), 183–189.
- [3] T. Zaslavsky, Matrices in the theory of signed simple graphs, in B.D. Acharya, G.O.H. Katona, J. Nešetřil (Eds.), Advances in Discrete Mathematics and Applications: Mysore 2008, Ramanujan Math. Soc., Mysore, 2010, pp. 207–229.